

Agenda for Interview on Application 10/802,658
Scheduled for 2pm EDT, Friday, August 3rd 2012

Any individual presentation by Applicant is planned to be short, allowing for questions and comments by Examiners.

Applicant would like to ask for permission to make an audio recording on his end, in order to listen to it by himself after the interview and to transcribe any suggestions Examiners are making, in order to get right wording, and in order not to be nervous about forgetting any specific suggestion. Applicant is not a legal professional, and terms that may seem natural to those in the legal profession may require Applicant to listen a second or third time until he “gets it”. Applicant is willing to agree to restrictions and conditions. If recording is allowed, Applicant voluntarily suggests to delete the audio file within a week and to refrain from playing back to any other party.

Applicant will accept permission or denial to record as given at the time the meeting starts.

1. Applicant will explain and give Examiner opportunity to ask questions about withdrawing earlier amendments and submitting a substitute specification, to make sure we are not spending another round on formal errors.
2. Applicant will explain and give Examiner opportunity to ask questions about what will be added in a new substitute specification, specifically regarding “no new matter”. Individual additions are clarifications that could variously be categorized:
 - What isn’t claimed (e.g. settings lost with the end of an online meeting),
 - what has been generally known at the time (e.g. a PDF file has been known to be a kind of digital document).
3. Applicant will explain and give Examiner opportunity to ask questions about further efforts to comply with need to specifically mention hardware in claims body, not only in preamble. Applicant has further improved language to include hardware wording in body. Also, a visual display unit is known to be hardware. In addition to Applicant’s efforts to comply, Applicant has noticed (a former colleague’s) US patent 8,223,134 claim 28, and surmises if in 2012 “a GUI on a device” gets allowed then “a device displaying a GUI” should be patentable subject matter as well.

4. Applicant will explain for “visual digital document” being the genus then “Portable Document Format (PDF) document” is a particular type of “visual digital document”, a species.

And, for “visual digital document” being the genus then “visually compound digital text document” (e.g. an HTML document) is a particular type of “visual digital document”, a species.

In the reply, Applicant elects, and then requests reconsideration of requirement.

5. Applicant will make a short presentation (quote a paragraph by a medical doctor and former medical industry executive) explaining the requirements of HIPAA regulations, what it means for doctors (and other medical staff), how the present invention would provide great relief for medical professionals, and the doctor’s frustration about still not getting it provided by medical IT suppliers.
6. Applicant will ask whether Examiner with expertise in access control has comments on the application, or what point of view.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for scheduling the interview and for suggesting bringing in an Examiner with expertise in access control.

Respectfully submitted,

/Leo Baschy/

Leo Baschy

Applicant Pro Se

Date 2012-08-01